





Publication strategy



- We are building an instrument together and everybody does a good job whatever he is participating
 - Technic
 - Electronics
 - Monte Carlo studies
 - Software
 - Physics
 - Writing drafts
 - many more
- In my opinion all our efforts are joined efforts and we should make clear to the public that it is the case



Publication strategy



- We have several options
 - All names (alphabetic or ordered) from a list
 - One (or a few) + “for the DWARF project/collaboration.”
 - One name
- I think we show to the public that what we do is “one project” not several (FACT)
- I don’t see any connection between funding and the name. Otherwise we would neither have Monte Carlos, nor a Drive nor Mirrors yet.
- I clearly prefer the first two options. I don’t care so much how we do it (not even how consistent we do it) as long as it is of the same advantage for everybody.
- I would prefer to keep the lists of authors flexible, i.e. a few names which are usually on any (most) publications and additional authors can be added depending on the people who worked for it.